

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/636,497

Applicant(s)

WANG, YI-FENG

Examiner

Marc S. Zimmer

Art Unit

1712

**All Participants:**(1) Marc S. Zimmer.(2) Ken Wheelock.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 4 December 2003**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic



Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

*Burkus, U.S. patent # 5,998,516***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was apprised of an impending rejection over the aforementioned commonly assigned reference. Strategies for overcoming the reference were discussed. Applicant indicated their willingness to amend claim 6 such that it particularly recited the chemical identity of the class of compounds employed as a compression set additive..